

A Novel Discontinuous Lyapunov Functional Approach to Networked-Based Stabilization

Kun Liu, Vladimir Suplin and Emilia Fridman

Abstract—This paper presents a new stability analysis of Networked Control Systems (NCSs), where the sampling and the constant network-induced delays are taken into account. The new method is inspired by discontinuous Lyapunov functionals that were recently introduced for sampled-data systems in [1] (in the framework of impulsive system representation) and in [2] (in the framework of input delay approach). However, extensions of the above Lyapunov constructions to NCSs lead to complicated conditions, which become conservative if the network-induced delays is not small. In the present paper a novel discontinuous Lyapunov functional is introduced, which is based on the application of the Wirtinger type inequality. This functional leads to efficient stability conditions in terms of Linear Matrix Inequality (LMIs). The new stability analysis is applied to sampled-data stabilization by using artificial delay.

Keywords: time-varying delay, networked control systems, sampled-data systems, Lyapunov functional, LMI.

I. INTRODUCTION

Control systems in which control loops are closed through a communication network are called NCSs [3]. NCSs have received increasing attention because of their advantages in the practical applications. Network-based stabilization has become a hot research area [4]-[6].

As in sampled-data control, two main approaches have been used to uncertain NCSs leading to conditions in terms of LMIs [7]. The first one is time-delay approach, where the system is modeled as a continuous-time system with the delayed input/output [8]-[10]. The time-delay approach became popular in NCSs, being applied via *time-independent* Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals [5]. Recently the time-delay approach to sampled-data systems was revised by using the scaled small gain theorem and a tighter upper bound on the L_2 -induced norm of the uncertain term [11]. The second approach is based on the representation of the sampled-data system in the form of impulsive model [12], [13].

Recently the impulsive model approach was extended to the case of variable sampling with a known upper bound [1] and to NCSs [6], where a discontinuous Lyapunov function method was introduced. This method improved the existing time-independent Lyapunov-based results and it inspired a piecewise-continuous (in time) Lyapunov functional approach to sampled-data systems [2]. Extensions of the above discontinuous Lyapunov constructions to NCSs lead to complicated conditions [6], [14]. Moreover, this conditions become conservative if the network-induced delay is not small.

Kun Liu, Vladimir Suplin and Emilia Fridman are with the School of Electrical Engineering, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel. liukun,suplin,emilia@eng.tau.ac.il

In the present paper a novel discontinuous Lyapunov functional is introduced, which is based on the application of the Wirtinger type inequality [15]. Being applied to sampled-data systems, the new method recovers conditions of [11] and, thus, is more conservative than the one of [2]. However, for the positive values of network-induced delays the new method leads to efficient sufficient conditions. The new stability analysis is applied to the problem of sampled-data stabilization by using artificial delay [16].

Notation: Throughout the paper \mathcal{R}^n denotes the n dimensional Euclidean space with vector norm $\|\cdot\|$, $\mathcal{R}^{n \times m}$ is the set of all $n \times m$ real matrices, and the notation $P > 0$, for $P \in \mathcal{R}^{n \times n}$ means that P is symmetric and positive definite. The symmetric elements of the symmetric matrix will be denoted by $*$. The space of functions $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^n$, which are absolutely continuous on $[a, b]$, have a finite $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow b^-} \phi(\theta)$ and have square integrable first order derivatives is denoted by $W[a, b]$ with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_W = \max_{\theta \in [a, b]} |\phi(\theta)| + \left[\int_a^b |\dot{\phi}(s)|^2 ds \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We also denote $x_t(\theta) = x(t + \theta)$, $\dot{x}_t(\theta) = \dot{x}(t + \theta)$, ($\theta \in [-\tau_M, 0]$).

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Consider the following system controlled through a network:

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \quad (1)$$

where $x(t) \in \mathcal{R}^n$ is the state vector, $u(t) \in \mathcal{R}^{n_u}$ is the control input, A and B are system matrices with appropriate dimensions. We let s_k denote the sampling time and t_k the updating instant time, i.e. the time instant at which the k -th sample arrives to the destination. We assume the following:

- The sampler is time-driven, and the controller and Zero-Order Hold (ZOH) are event-driven.
- The network-induced delay is constant, denoted by h .

Thus we have $t_k := s_k + h$. We take into account data packet dropouts by allowing the sampling to be nonuniform. As in [5], [6], we assume that

$$t_{k+1} - s_k \leq \tau_M, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

where τ_M denotes the maximum time span between the time s_k at which the state is sampled and the time t_{k+1} at which next update arrives at the controller.

The state feedback controller has a form $u(t_k) = Kx(t_k - h)$, where K is the controller gain. Thus, considering the behavior of the ZOH, we have

$$u(t) = Kx(t_k - h), \quad t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3)$$

with t_{k+1} being the next updating instant time of the ZOH after t_k . The closed-loop system (1), (3) has a form

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_1x(t_k - h), \quad t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad (4)$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $A_1 = BK$.

The objective of the present paper is to derive efficient LMI conditions via novel discontinuous Lyapunov functionals. The following lemma will be useful:

Lemma 1: [2] Let there exist positive numbers α, β, γ and a functional $V : \mathcal{R} \times W[-\tau_M, 0] \times L_2[-\tau_M, 0] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$\alpha|\phi(0)|^2 \leq V(t, \phi, \dot{\phi}) \leq \beta\|\phi\|_W^2. \quad (5)$$

Let the function $\bar{V}(t) = V(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t)$ is continuous from the right for $x(t)$ satisfying (4), absolutely continuous for $t \neq t_k$ and satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^-} \bar{V}(t) \geq \bar{V}(t_k). \quad (6)$$

If along (4)

$$\dot{\bar{V}}(t) < -\gamma|x(t)|^2, \quad t \neq t_k, \quad (7)$$

then (4) is asymptotically stable.

A novel Lyapunov functional construction will be based on the Wirtinger type inequality [15], which can be extended to the vector case:

Lemma 2: Let $z(t) \in W[a, b]$ and $z(a) = 0$ or $z(b) = 0$. Then for any $n \times n$ -matrix $R > 0$ the following inequality holds:

$$\int_a^b z^T(\xi)Rz(\xi)d\xi \leq \frac{4(b-a)^2}{\pi^2} \int_a^b \dot{z}^T(\xi)R\dot{z}(\xi)d\xi. \quad (8)$$

III. STABILITY CONDITIONS

By using the time-delay approach, (4) can be represented as a continuous-time system

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_1x(t - \tau(t)) \quad (9)$$

with a non-small or interval delay $t_k - h = t - \tau(t) \in [h, \tau_M]$. The stability of the latter system can be analyzed via time-independent functionals of the form [17]:

$$V(x_t, \dot{x}_t) = V_n(x_t, \dot{x}_t) + V_Z(x_t, \dot{x}_t), \quad (10)$$

where V_n is a "nominal" functional for stability analysis of the 'nominal' system with constant delay

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_1x(t - h) \quad (11)$$

and where [18]

$$\begin{aligned} V_Z(x_t, \dot{x}_t) &= \int_{t-\tau_M}^{t-h} x^T(s)Z_1x(s)ds \\ &+ (\tau_M - h) \int_{-\tau_M}^{-h} \int_{t+\theta}^t \dot{x}^T(s)Z_2\dot{x}(s)dsd\theta, \quad Z_1 > 0, \quad Z_2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We suggest the following discontinuous Lyapunov functional

$$\begin{aligned} V_d(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) &= \bar{V}_1(t) \\ &= V_n(x_t, \dot{x}_t) + V_Z(x_t, \dot{x}_t) + V_W(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

with the discontinuous term

$$\begin{aligned} V_W(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) &= (\tau_M - h)^2 \int_{t_k-h}^t \dot{x}^T(s)W\dot{x}(s)ds \\ &- \frac{\pi^2}{4} \int_{t_k-h}^{t-h} [x(s) - x(t_k - h)]^T W [x(s) - x(t_k - h)]ds, \\ &W > 0, \quad t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

By Wirtinger-type inequality (8), $V_W \geq 0$. Moreover, V_W can be represented as a sum of the continuous in time term $(\tau_M - h)^2 \int_{t_k-h}^t \dot{x}^T(s)W\dot{x}(s)ds$ with the discontinuous one, where the latter vanishes at $t = t_k$. Hence, the condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^-} \bar{V}(t) \geq \bar{V}(t_k)$ holds.

Differentiating V_W we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} V_W &= (\tau_M - h)^2 \dot{x}^T(t)W\dot{x}(t) \\ &- \frac{\pi^2}{4} [x(t-h) - x(t_k - h)]^T W [x(t-h) - x(t_k - h)]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Nominal functional V_n will be chosen in two forms:

1) a discretized Lyapunov functional [19]

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n1}(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) &= x^T(t)P_1x(t) \\ &+ 2x^T(t) \int_{-h}^0 Q(s)x(t+s)ds \\ &+ \int_{-h}^0 \int_{-h}^0 x^T(t+s)R(s, \theta)dsx(t+\theta)d\theta \\ &+ \int_{-h}^0 x^T(t+s)S(s)x(t+s)ds, \quad P_1 > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

with continuous and piecewise-linear functions $Q(s), S(s)$ and $R(s, \theta)$,

2) a simple Lyapunov functional:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n2}(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) &= x^T(t)P_1x(t) + \int_{t-h}^t x^T(s)R_1x(s)ds \\ &+ h \int_{-h}^0 \int_{t+\theta}^t \dot{x}^T(s)R_2\dot{x}(s)dsd\theta, \quad P_1 > 0, \quad R_1 > 0, \quad R_2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We start with the stability conditions via V_d of (13) with $V_n = V_{n1}$. Following [20], we divide the delay interval $[-h, 0]$ into N segments $[\theta_p, \theta_{p-1}]$, $p = 1, \dots, N$ of equal length $r = h/N$, where $\theta_p = -pr$. This divides the square $[-h, 0] \times [-h, 0]$ into $N \times N$ small squares $[\theta_p, \theta_{p-1}] \times [\theta_q, \theta_{q-1}]$. Each small square is further divided into two triangles.

The continuous matrix functions $Q(s)$ and $S(s)$ are chosen to be linear within each segment and the continuous matrix function $R(s, \theta)$ is chosen to be linear within each triangular:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\theta_p + \alpha r) &= (1 - \alpha)Q_p + \alpha Q_{p-1}, \\ S(\theta_p + \alpha r) &= (1 - \alpha)S_p + \alpha S_{p-1}, \quad \alpha \in [0, 1], \\ R(\theta_p + \alpha r, \theta_q + \beta r) &= \\ \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha)R_{pq} + \beta R_{p-1, q-1} + (\alpha - \beta)R_{p-1, q}, & \alpha \geq \beta, \\ (1 - \beta)R_{pq} + \alpha R_{p-1, q-1} + (\beta - \alpha)R_{p, q-1}, & \alpha < \beta. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Thus, the Lyapunov functional is completely determined by P_1, Q_p, S_p, R_{pq} , $p, q = 0, 1, \dots, N$.

Theorem 1: The system (4) is asymptotically stable if there exist $n \times n$ matrices $P_1 > 0, P_2, P_3, T, S_p = S_p^T, Q_p, R_{pq} = R_{qp}^T$, $p = 0, 1, \dots, N, q = 0, 1, \dots, N, W > 0$,

$Z_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$ and $n \times 2n$ -matrices Y, M such that following LMIs hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_1 & \tilde{Q} \\ * & \tilde{R} + \tilde{S} \end{bmatrix} > 0, \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{di} & \begin{bmatrix} D^s \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} D^a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ * & -R_d - S_d & 0 \\ * & * & -3S_d \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (20)$$

where

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ P_2 & P_3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q} &= [Q_0 \ Q_1 \ \dots \ Q_N], \quad \tilde{S} = \text{diag}\{1/rS_0, 1/rS_1, \dots, 1/rS_N\}, \\ \tilde{R} &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{00} & R_{01} & \dots & R_{0N} \\ R_{10} & R_{11} & \dots & R_{1N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ R_{N0} & R_{N1} & \dots & R_{NN} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\Xi_{d1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_d & \begin{bmatrix} -Q_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - Y^T & P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_1 \end{bmatrix} - M^T + Y^T & M^T & (\tau_M - h)M^T \\ * & -S_N + Z_1 - \frac{\pi^2}{4}W & \frac{\pi^2}{4}W - T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -\frac{\pi^2}{4}W + T + T^T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & -Z_1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -(\tau_M - h)^2 Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (23)$$

$$\Xi_{d2} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_d & \begin{bmatrix} -Q_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - Y^T & P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_1 \end{bmatrix} - M^T + Y^T & M^T & (\tau_M - h)M^T \\ * & -S_N + Z_1 - \frac{\pi^2}{4}W & \frac{\pi^2}{4}W - T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -\frac{\pi^2}{4}W + T + T^T & 0 & (\tau_M - h)T^T \\ * & * & * & -Z_1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -(\tau_M - h)^2 Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_d &= P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & -I \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T \\ I & -I \end{bmatrix} P \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} Q_0 + Q_0^T + S_0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\tau_M - h)^2 (Z_2 + W) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$S_d = \text{diag}\{S_0 - S_1, S_1 - S_2, \dots, S_{N-1} - S_N\},$$

$$R_d = \begin{bmatrix} R_{d11} & R_{d12} & \dots & R_{d1N} \\ R_{d21} & R_{d22} & \dots & R_{d2N} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ R_{dN1} & R_{dN2} & \dots & R_{dNN} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{dpq} &= r(R_{p-1,q-1} - R_{pq}), \\ D^s &= [D_1^s \ D_2^s \ \dots \ D_N^s], \quad D^a = [D_1^a \ D_2^a \ \dots \ D_N^a], \\ D_p^s &= \begin{bmatrix} r/2(R_{0,p-1} + R_{0p}) - (Q_{p-1} - Q_p) \\ r/2(Q_{p-1} + Q_p) \\ -r/2(R_{N,p-1} + R_{Np}) \end{bmatrix}, \\ D_p^a &= \begin{bmatrix} -r/2(R_{0,p-1} - R_{0p}) \\ -r/2(Q_{p-1} - Q_p) \\ r/2(R_{N,p-1} - R_{Np}) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Proof: First, from [19], the Lyapunov functional condition $\bar{V}_1(t) \geq \varepsilon \|x(t)\|^2$, $\varepsilon > 0$ is satisfied if $S_p > 0$, $p = 0, 1, \dots, N$ and (19) hold. Secondly, differentiating the Lyapunov functionals V_d of (13) with $V_n = V_{n1}$, and

applying the Jensen's inequality [19], the free-weighting matrices techniques [18], descriptor model transformation [21], and the convex method [22], we obtain sufficient LMI conditions (20) ($i = 1, 2$) for the stability. Moreover, LMI (20) ($i = 1, 2$) imply that $S_0 > S_1 > \dots > S_N > 0$ (see Proposition 5.22 of [19]). ■

If we use the simple form of $V_n = V_{n2}$, by similar arguments we arrive to

Corollary 1: The system (1) is asymptotically stable if there exist $n \times n$ matrices $P_1 > 0, P_2, P_3, T, W > 0, Z_i > 0, R_i > 0, i = 1, 2$ and $n \times 2n$ -matrices Y, M such that the following LMIs are feasible:

$$\Xi_{s1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_s & \begin{bmatrix} R_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - Y^T & P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_1 \end{bmatrix} - M^T + Y^T & M^T & (\tau_M - h)M^T \\ * & -R_1 - R_2 + Z_1 - \frac{\pi^2}{4}W & \frac{\pi^2}{4}W - T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -\frac{\pi^2}{4}W + T + T^T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & -Z_1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -(\tau_M - h)^2 Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (26)$$

$$\Xi_{s2} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_s & \begin{bmatrix} R_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - Y^T & P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_1 \end{bmatrix} - M^T + Y^T & M^T & (\tau_M - h)Y^T \\ * & -R_1 - R_2 + Z_1 - \frac{\pi^2}{4}W & \frac{\pi^2}{4}W - T & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -\frac{\pi^2}{4}W + T + T^T & 0 & (\tau_M - h)T^T \\ * & * & * & -Z_1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & -(\tau_M - h)^2 Z_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ P_2 & P_3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Psi_s &= P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & -I \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T \\ I & -I \end{bmatrix} P \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} R_1 - R_2 & 0 \\ 0 & h^2 R_2 + (\tau_M - h)^2 (Z_2 + W) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Remark 1: Results of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 with $W = 0$ guarantee the network-based stabilization of (1) under assumption (2), where the network-induced delay $\eta_k \geq h$ is variable.

IV. EXAMPLES

Example 1: Consider the system from [4][3]:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} u(t), \quad (29)$$

where $u(t) = -[3.75 \ 11.5]x(t_k - h)$, $t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}$.

For the values of h given in Table I, by applying Theorem 1 with $N = 1$ and Corollary 1, we obtain the same maximum values of τ_M , that preserve the stability (see Table I). We see that discontinuous terms of Lyapunov functionals improve the performance.

Example 2: We consider the following simple and much-studied problem (see [24] and the references therein):

$$\dot{x}(t) = -x(t_k - h), \quad t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (30)$$

For the values of h given in Table II, by applying Theorem 1 with $N = 1$ and Corollary 1, we obtain the maximum

TABLE I

 EXAMPLE 1: MAX. VALUE OF τ_M FOR DIFFERENT h

$\tau_M \setminus h$	0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.9
<i>Th1, Cor1</i>	1.41	1.37	1.33	1.25	1.18	1.11
[14]	1.68	1.33	1.26	1.18	1.14	1.07
<i>Th1, Cor1, (W = 0)</i>	1.04	1.05	1.06	1.07	1.07	1.07

values of τ_M , that preserve the stability (see Table II). Also in this Example the discontinuous terms of LKFs improve the performance.

TABLE II

 EXAMPLE 2: MAX. VALUE OF τ_M FOR DIFFERENT h

$\tau_M \setminus h$	0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.9	1.2
<i>Th1, Cor1</i>	1.67	1.64	1.60	1.54	1.44	1.42
[14]	1.99	1.64	1.57	1.50	1.42	1.36
<i>Th1, Cor1(W = 0)</i>	1.33	1.35	1.36	1.37	1.37	1.39

Example 3: Consider the system in [19]:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t_k - h).$$

This system is unstable for non-delay case, so Corollary 1 cannot be applied to this system. For different $h > 0$, we obtain the maximum values of τ_M that preserve the stability (see Table III). Also in this Example the discontinuous terms of Lyapunov functional improve the performance.

TABLE III

 EXAMPLE 3: MAX. VALUE OF τ_M FOR DIFFERENT h

$\tau_M \setminus h$		0.5	0.65	0.8
$N = 1$	<i>Th1</i>	1.03	1.27	1.36
	<i>Th1(W = 0)</i>	0.84	1.05	1.16
$N = 2$	<i>Th1</i>	1.07	1.39	1.65
	<i>Th1(W = 0)</i>	0.86	1.12	1.34

V. SAMPLED-DATA STABILIZATION BY USING ARTIFICIAL DELAY

It is well-known, that using artificial delay in the static output feedback can stabilize the systems, which are not stabilizable without delay [16]. Thus, the double integrator

$$\ddot{x}(t) = u(t), \quad y(t) = x(t) \quad (31)$$

can be stabilized by using a control action of the form $u(t) = -k_1 x(t - h_1) - k_2 x(t - h_2)$, where h_1 and h_2 are constant delays and $0 \leq h_1 < h_2$. The main criticism of the above method, that it has no advantages over the dynamic output-feedback and that its implementation needs buffer for all the measurements $y(t + \theta)$, $\theta \in [-h_2, 0]$.

For the sampled-data control of systems with uncertainties in the matrices, the observer-based design is complicated and may lead to conservative results. From the other side, a simple static output feedback using the previous measurements can be easily designed and implemented. Consider the system (1) with the known and constant sampling period $h > 0$ and with the measured output

$$y(t_k) = Cx(t_k), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (32)$$

where $y(t) \in \mathcal{R}^m$, C is constant matrix of appropriate dimensions. The control signal is assumed to be generated by a ZOH function with a sequence of hold times $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k < \dots$

$$u(t) = u_d(t_k), \quad t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad (33)$$

where $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$ and u_d is a discrete-time control signal.

We consider the following static output feedback controller:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= K_1 Cx(t_k) + K_2 Cx(t_k - m) \\ &= K_1 Cx(t_k) + K_2 Cx(t_k - mh), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$m = 1, 2, \dots, \quad t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}.$$

The closed-loop system (1), (34) has the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + A_1 x(t_k) + A_2 x(t_k - mh), \quad (35)$$

where

$$A_1 = BK_1 C, \quad A_2 = BK_2 C. \quad (36)$$

We extend stability analysis of Part III to the system of (35). Consider the following discontinuous Lyapunov functional:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{sam}(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) &= \bar{V}_2(t) \\ &= V_{n1}(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) + V_U(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) + V_W(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t), \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

$$P_1 > 0, U > 0, W > 0, \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{n1}(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) &= x^T(t) P_1 x(t) \\ &+ 2x^T(t) \int_{-mh}^0 Q(s) x(t+s) ds \\ &+ \int_{-mh}^0 \int_{-mh}^0 x^T(t+s) R(s, \theta) ds x(t+\theta) d\theta \\ &+ \int_{-mh}^0 x^T(t+s) S(s) x(t+s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

and

$$V_U(t, \dot{x}_t) = (h - t + t_k) \int_{t_k}^t \dot{x}^T(s) U \dot{x}(s) ds, \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_W(t, x_t, \dot{x}_t) &= h^2 \int_{t_k - mh}^t \dot{x}^T(s) W \dot{x}(s) ds \\ &- \frac{\pi^2}{4} \int_{t_k - mh}^{t - mh} [x(s) - x(t_k - mh)]^T W [x(s) - x(t_k - mh)] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

The term V_U was introduced in [2] for sampled-data control. We note that V_U term vanishes before t_k ($h - t_k + t_{k+1} = h - h = 0$) and after t_k ($\int_{t_k}^{t_k} \dot{x}^T(s) U \dot{x}(s) ds = 0$). By Wirtinger-type inequality (8) we verify that $V_W \geq 0$, and V_W does not grow in the jumps t_k . So the condition $\lim_{t \rightarrow t_k^-} \bar{V}_2(t) \geq \bar{V}(t_k)$ holds.

Similar to Theorem 1, we arrive to the following:

Theorem 2: The system (35) is asymptotically stable if there exist $n \times n$ matrices $P_1 > 0, P_2, P_3, T, Y_1, Y_2, S_p = S_p^T, Q_p, R_{pq} = R_{qp}^T, p = 0, 1, \dots, N, q = 0, 1, \dots, N$, and $U > 0, W > 0$ such that LMI (19) and the following LMIs hold:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\Xi}_{di} & \begin{bmatrix} D^s \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} D^a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ * & -R_d - S_d & 0 \\ * & * & -3S_d \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (41)$$

where P is defined in (21), $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{S}, \tilde{R}$ are defined in (22) ($\tilde{r} = mr$ instead of r), S_d, R_d, D^s, D^a are defined in (25) ($\tilde{r} = mr$ instead of r), and

$$\tilde{\Xi}_{d1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\Psi}_d \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & hU \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -Q_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} & P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} Y_1^T - T \\ Y_2^T \end{bmatrix} \\ * & -S_N - \frac{\pi^2}{4} W & \frac{\pi^2}{4} W & 0 & \\ * & * & -\frac{\pi^2}{4} W & 0 & \\ * & * & * & T + T^T & \end{bmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

$$\tilde{\Xi}_{d2} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\Psi}_d & \begin{bmatrix} -Q_N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & h \begin{bmatrix} Y_1^T \\ Y_2^T \end{bmatrix} & P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} & P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A_1 \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} Y_1^T - T \\ Y_2^T \end{bmatrix} \\ * & -S_N - \frac{\pi^2}{4} W & 0 & \frac{\pi^2}{4} W & 0 & \\ * & * & -hU & 0 & hT & \\ * & * & * & -\frac{\pi^2}{4} W & 0 & \\ * & * & * & * & T + T^T & \end{bmatrix}, \quad (43)$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_d = P^T \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & -I \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^T \\ I & -I \end{bmatrix} P \\ + \begin{bmatrix} Q_0 + Q_0^T + S_0 - Y_1 - Y_1^T & -Y_2 \\ 0 & h^2 W \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remark 2: Assuming that B is of full rank, the unknown gains K_1 and K_2 in (34) that stabilize (1) can be found as follows. Without loss of generality, B can be taken in the form: $B^T = [0 \ B_2^T]$, where $B_2 \in \mathcal{R}^{n_u \times n_u}$ is non-singular. Consider the slack variables P_2, P_3 of the following form:

$$P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} G_{21} & G_{22} \\ G & \varepsilon_2 I_{n_u} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} G_{31} & G_{32} \\ G & \varepsilon_3 I_{n_u} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (44)$$

where ε_2 and ε_3 are scalar tuning parameters, G is some given constant matrix and $G_{2i}, G_{3i}, i = 1, 2$ are arbitrary matrices of appropriate dimensions. Then K_1, K_2 can be found from LMIs of Theorem 2.

Example 4: Consider the system:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t), \\ y(t_k) = [1 \ 0]x(t_k), \quad t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad x(t) \in \mathcal{R}^2.$$

It is known that this system cannot be stabilizable by the non-delayed static output-feedback $u(t) = Ky(t_k)$, $t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}$. We take $m = 1$ and we search for the static output-feedback of the form

$$u(t) = Ky(t_{k-1}) = Ky(t_k - h), \quad (45) \\ t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad t_{k+1} - t_k = h.$$

Given the controller gain K , by applying Theorem 2 with $m = 1$ to the closed-loop system

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t_k - h) \quad (46)$$

we obtain the value of sampling period h that preserves the stability (see Table IV).

TABLE IV

 EXAMPLE 4: THE VALUE OF SAMPLING PERIOD h FOR DIFFERENT CONTROLLER GAIN K

$N = 1$	$K = 1.0$	$h \in [0.320 \ 0.625]$
		$K = 1.5$
	$K = 1.8$	$h \in [0.154 \ 0.345]$
$N = 2$	$K = 1.0$	$h \in [0.293 \ 0.856]$
	$K = 1.5$	$h \in [0.100 \ 0.827]$
	$K = 1.8$	$h \in [0.153 \ 0.685]$

Example 5: Consider the second-order integrator [23]:

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t), \\ y(t_k) = [1 \ 0]x(t_k), \quad t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad x(t) \in \mathcal{R}^2.$$

This system also cannot be stabilizable by the non-delayed static output-feedback $u(t) = Ky(t_k)$, $t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}$. We take $m = 3$ and we look for the following static output-feedback:

$$u(t) = K_1 y(t_k) + K_2 y(t_{k-3}) \\ = K_1 y(t_k) + K_2 Ky(t_k - 3h), \quad (47) \\ t_k \leq t < t_{k+1}, \quad t_{k+1} - t_k = h.$$

In order to illustrate the efficiency of our method, given the controller gains K_1 and K_2 , we apply Theorem 2 with $m = 3$ to the closed-loop system

$$\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t_k) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ K_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t_k - 3h). \quad (48)$$

The value of sampling period h that preserve the stability are given in Table V.

TABLE V

 EXAMPLE 5: THE VALUE OF SAMPLING PERIOD h FOR DIFFERENT CONTROLLER GAINS K_1 AND K_2

$N = 1$	$K_1 = -0.350, K_2 = 0.100$	$h \in [10^{-6} \ 0.436]$
		$K_1 = -0.7947, K_2 = 0.3067$
$N = 2$	$K_1 = -0.350, K_2 = 0.100$	$h \in [10^{-5} \ 0.563]$
	$K_1 = -0.7947, K_2 = 0.3067$	$h \in [10^{-6} \ 0.464]$

VI. CONCLUSIONS

A novel discontinuous Lyapunov functional has been introduced for analysis of networked control systems under assumption of constant network-induced delay and of a bounded number of packet dropouts. The construction of the functional is based on the Wirtinger type inequality. The main result is applied to sample-data stabilization by using artificial delay. Numerical examples illustrate the efficiency of the new method.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is partially supported by Kamea Fund of Israel and by China Scholarship Council.

REFERENCES

- [1] Naghshtabrizi P, Hespanha J, Teel A. Exponential stability of impulsive systems with application to uncertain sampled-data systems. *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 57, 378-385, 2008.
- [2] E. Fridman. A refined input delay approach to sampled-data control. *Automatica*, vol. 46, 421-427, 2010.
- [3] W. Zhang, M. Branicky, S. Phillips. Stability of networked control systems. *IEEE Control System Magazine*, vol.21, no. 1, 84-99, 2001.
- [4] D. Yue, Q. L. Han, J. Lam. Networked-based robust H_∞ control of systems with uncertainty. *Automatica*, vol.41, no.6, 999-1007, 2005.
- [5] H. Gao, T. Chen, J. Lam. A new system approach to network-based control. *Automatica*, vol.44, no.1, 39-52, 2008.
- [6] Naghshtabrizi P, Hespanha J, Teel A. Stability of delay impulsive systems with application to networked control systems. *Proceedings of the 26th American Control Conference*, New York, USA, July 2007.
- [7] S. Boyd, L. El Ghaoui, E. Feron, V. Balakrishnan. *Linear Matrix Inequalities in Systems and Control Theory*. SIAM Frontier Series, 1994.
- [8] Y. Mikheev, V. Sobolev, E. Fridman. Asymptotic analysis of digital control systems. *Automation and remote control*, 49, 1175-1180, 1988.
- [9] E. Fridman. Using models with aftereffect in the problem of design of optimal digital control. *Automation and remote control*, 53, 1523-1528, 1992.
- [10] E. Fridman, A. Seuret, J.-P. Richard. Robust sampled-data stabilization of linear systems: An input delay approach. *Automatica*, 40, 1441-1446, 2004.
- [11] L. Mirkin. Some remarks on the use of time-varying delay to model sample-and-hold circuits. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, 52, 1109-1112, 2007.
- [12] N. Sivashankar, P. Khargonekar. Characterization of the L_2 -induced norm for linear systems with jumps with applications to sampled-data systems. *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, 32, 1128-1150, 1994.
- [13] T. Basar, P. Bernard. *H_∞ Optimal Control and Related Minimax Design Problems. A Dynamic Game Approach*. Systems and Control: Foundation and Applications. Birkhauser, Boston, 1995.
- [14] K. Liu, E. Fridman. Stability analysis of networked control systems: a discontinuous Lyapunov functional approach. *Proceedings of the 48th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, Shanghai, China, December 2009.
- [15] G. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya. *Inequalities*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1934.
- [16] V. Kharitonov, S.I.Niculescu, J. Moreno, M. Michiels. Static output feedback stabilization: necessary conditions for multiple delay controllers. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, vol. 52, no.6, 1109-1112, 2007.
- [17] E. Fridman. A new Lyapunov technique for robust control of systems with uncertain non-small delays. *IMA Journal of Math. Contr. & Information*, vol. 23, no. 2, 165-179, 2006.
- [18] Y. He, Q. G. Wang, C. Lin, M. Wu. Delay-range-dependent stability for systems with time-varying delay. *Automatica*, vol. 43, no. 2, 371-376, 2007.
- [19] K. Gu, V. Kharitonov, J. Chen. *Stability of time-delay systems*. Birkhauser: Boston, 2003.
- [20] K. Gu. Discretized LMI set in the stability problem of linear time delay systems. *Int. Journal of Control*, vol. 68, 923-934, 1997.
- [21] E. Fridman. New Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals for stability of linear retarded and neutral type systems. *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 43, 309-319, 2001.
- [22] P. G. Park, J. W. Ko. Stability and robust stability for systems with a time-varying delay. *Automatica*, vol. 43, no. 10, 1855-1858, 2007.
- [23] E. Fridman. Descriptor discretized Lyapunov functional method: analysis and design. *IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control*, vol. 51, no. 5, 890-896, 2006.
- [24] A.Papachristodoulou, M.Peet, S.Niculescu. Stability analysis of linear systems with time-varying delays: delay uncertainty and quenching. *Proc. of the 46th IEEE CDC*, New Orleans, USA, 2007.