

# The Shortest-Basis Approach to Minimal Realizations of Linear Systems

G. David Forney, Jr.

**Abstract**—Given a controllable discrete-time linear system  $\mathcal{C}$ , a shortest basis for  $\mathcal{C}$  is a set of linearly independent generators for  $\mathcal{C}$  with the least possible lengths. A basis  $\mathcal{B}$  is a shortest basis if and only if it has the predictable span property (i.e., has the predictable delay and degree properties, and is non-catastrophic), or alternatively if and only if it has the subsystem basis property (for any interval  $\mathcal{J}$ , the generators in  $\mathcal{B}$  whose span is in  $\mathcal{J}$  is a basis for the subsystem  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}}$ ). The dimensions of the minimal state spaces and minimal transition spaces of  $\mathcal{C}$  are simply the numbers of generators in a shortest basis  $\mathcal{B}$  that are active at any given state or symbol time, respectively. A minimal linear realization for  $\mathcal{C}$  in controller canonical form follows directly from a shortest basis for  $\mathcal{C}$ , and a minimal linear realization for  $\mathcal{C}$  in observer canonical form follows directly from a shortest basis for the orthogonal system  $\mathcal{C}^{\perp}$ . This approach seems conceptually simpler than that of classical minimal realization theory.

The classical theory of minimal realizations of linear systems is based on the “minimal = controllable + observable” paradigm, and involves heavy use of matrix algebra; see e.g., [1]. In this paper, we present an alternative “shortest-basis” approach that seems conceptually simpler.

As in behavioral system theory [9], we characterize a discrete-time linear system over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  by the set  $\mathcal{C}$  of all of its trajectories on the symbol time axis  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{Z}$ , or on a subinterval  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . The system  $\mathcal{C}$  may be time-varying, or defined on a finite time axis  $\mathcal{I}$ . For simplicity, we consider only systems  $\mathcal{C}$  that are controllable—i.e., generated by their finite trajectories [2], [10]—although this restriction can easily be lifted. A shortest basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$  is then defined as a set of linearly independent finite generators for  $\mathcal{C}$  with the least possible lengths, where the length of a nonzero finite trajectory  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}$  is the size of the shortest interval that contains its support (its span).

For a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of a controllable system  $\mathcal{C}$ , we show that the following properties are equivalent:

- 1)  $\mathcal{B}$  is a shortest basis for  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- 2)  $\mathcal{B}$  has the predictable span property; i.e., if  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{C}$  is a linear combination of a subset of generators  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{a}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , then the span of  $\mathbf{a}$  is precisely the span of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{a})$  (the shortest interval that contains the supports of all generators in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{a})$ ).
- 3)  $\mathcal{B}$  has the subsystem basis property; i.e., for any subinterval  $\mathcal{J}$  of the time axis  $\mathcal{I}$ , the set of generators in  $\mathcal{B}$  whose support is contained in  $\mathcal{J}$  is a basis for the subsystem  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{J}}$  comprising all trajectories in  $\mathcal{C}$  whose support is contained in  $\mathcal{J}$ .

A journal version of this paper will appear in [6].

G. D. Forney, Jr., is with the Laboratory for Information and Decision Systems, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139. forneyd@comcast.net.

Given a linear system  $\mathcal{C}$ , it is well known that the minimal state space  $\Sigma_k$  at state time  $k$ , corresponding to a cut of the symbol time axis  $\mathcal{I}$  between symbol time  $k - 1$  and symbol time  $k$ , is the quotient space

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{C}_{k^-} \times \mathcal{C}_{k^+}},$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_{k^-}$  is the subsystem defined on the past  $k^- = \{k' \in \mathcal{I} : k' < k\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{k^+}$  is the subsystem defined on the future  $k^+ = \{k' \in \mathcal{I} : k' \geq k\}$  [9], [10]. From the subsystem basis property, it follows immediately that the dimension of  $\Sigma_k$  is the number of active generators at state time  $k$  in any shortest basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ , where a generator is said to be active at state time  $k$  if its support is not contained entirely in either the past  $k^-$  or the future  $k^+$ .

It is less well known that in a minimal realization of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the minimal transition space  $\mathcal{T}_k$  at symbol time  $k \in \mathcal{I}$ , namely the set of all transitions  $(s_k, a_k, s_{k+1}) \in \Sigma_k \times A_k \times \Sigma_{k+1}$  that actually occur (where  $A_k$  is the symbol alphabet at time  $k$ ), is isomorphic to the quotient space

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{C}_{k^-} \times \mathcal{C}_{(k+1)^+}}.$$

From the subsystem basis property, it follows immediately that the dimension of  $\mathcal{T}_k$  is the number of active generators at symbol time  $k$  in any shortest basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ , where a generator is active at symbol time  $k$  if its support is not contained entirely in either the past  $k^-$  or the future  $(k+1)^+$ .

It follows that, given any shortest basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ , we can construct an obvious linear state-space realization for  $\mathcal{C}$ , sometimes called the controller canonical form [7], which is evidently minimal. For each generator  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{B}$ , construct a one-dimensional “atomic” state-space realization, which is active (one-dimensional) when  $\mathbf{g}$  is active and dormant (zero-dimensional) otherwise, and which produces a scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{g}$ , namely  $\alpha(\mathbf{g})\mathbf{g}$ , where  $\alpha(\mathbf{g}) \in \mathbb{F}$ , during the active interval. The output of the realization is the sum  $\sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{B}} \alpha(\mathbf{g})\mathbf{g}$  of the outputs of all of these atomic realizations, which evidently runs through  $\mathcal{C}$ , the set of all linear combinations of all elements of its basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . From the results of the two previous paragraphs, the state-space dimension of this controller canonical realization is evidently minimal at all state times, and the transition-space dimension is minimal at all symbol times.

(For multivariable linear time-invariant systems, a construction of a minimal realization in controller canonical form from a shortest (“minimal”) basis was given in [3]. In the literature of trellis realizations of block codes, such a construction was first given by Kschischang and Sorokine [8], who introduced the term “atomic.”)

An alternative way of defining a linear system  $\mathcal{C}$  is via a set of generators for its orthogonal system  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ . In linear system theory, such a representation of  $\mathcal{C}$  is sometimes called a *kernel representation*, whereas a representation in terms of generators for  $\mathcal{C}$  is called an *image representation*. If  $\mathcal{B}^\perp$  is a shortest basis for  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set of all trajectories that are orthogonal to all trajectories in  $\mathcal{B}^\perp$ .

A fundamental duality result is that any minimal state space for  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  has the same dimension as the corresponding minimal state space for  $\mathcal{C}$ . An equally fundamental result, but less well known, is that the minimal transition spaces of  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  are the orthogonal spaces  $(\mathcal{T}_k)^\perp$  to  $\mathcal{T}_k$ , where orthogonality is defined in an unconventional way, with an inverted sign [6]. It follows that

$$\dim(\mathcal{T}_k)^\perp = \dim \Sigma_k + \dim A_k + \dim \Sigma_{k+1} - \dim \mathcal{T}_k.$$

From these results, we can straightforwardly construct a minimal realization for  $\mathcal{C}$  in observer canonical form [7], involving a set of one-dimensional atomic “checkers,” one corresponding to each generator  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$ .

In a controller canonical realization of a linear time-invariant system  $\mathcal{C}$ , the lengths of the generators  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{B}$  in a shortest basis  $\mathcal{B}$  are sometimes called the *controllability indices* of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Similarly, in a linear time-varying system  $\mathcal{C}$ , the lengths of the generators of a shortest basis  $\mathcal{B}$  may be regarded as generalized controllability indices of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Dually, in an observer canonical realization of a linear system  $\mathcal{C}$ , the lengths of the dual generators  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$  of a shortest basis  $\mathcal{B}^\perp$  for  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  may be regarded as generalized *observability indices* of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus the generalized observability indices of  $\mathcal{C}$  are the generalized controllability indices of  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$ , and *vice versa*.

As shown in [4], this approach generalizes naturally to discrete-time group systems. As shown in [5], it further generalizes to linear and group systems defined on cycle-free graphs, rather than on a standard discrete time axis, and to some extent to general graphs. Such generality suggests that the shortest-basis approach is rather fundamental.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I have benefitted greatly from interactions with many colleagues over the very many years during which I have been interested in these topics, including particularly F. Fagnani, R. Johannesson, T. Kailath, R. Koetter, F. R. Kschischang, H.-A. Loeliger, J. L. Massey, T. Mittelholzer, S. Mitter, J. Rosenthal, M. D. Trott, A. Vardy, J. C. Willems, and S. Zampieri.

For comments on earlier drafts of this paper, I am grateful to H. Gluesing-Luerssen, F. R. Kschischang, and M. Kuijper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. De Schutter, “Minimal state-space realization in linear system theory: An overview,” *J. Comp. Appl. Math.*, vol. 121, pp. 331–354, Sept. 2000.
- [2] F. Fagnani, “Shifts on compact and discrete Lie groups: Algebraic-topological invariants and classification problems,” *Adv. Math.*, vol. 127, pp. 283–306, 1997.
- [3] G. D. Forney, Jr., “Minimal bases of rational vector spaces, with applications to multivariable linear systems,” *SIAM J. Control*, vol. 13, pp. 493–520, 1975.
- [4] G. D. Forney, Jr. and M. D. Trott, “The dynamics of group codes: State spaces, trellis diagrams, and canonical encoders,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 39, pp. 1491–1513, Sept. 1993.
- [5] G. D. Forney, Jr., “Codes on graphs: Normal realizations,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 47, pp. 520–548, Feb. 2001.
- [6] G. D. Forney, Jr., “Minimal realizations of linear systems: The ‘shortest basis’ approach,” to appear in *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*. ArXiv: 0910.4336 [cs.IT].
- [7] T. Kailath, *Linear Systems*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1980.
- [8] F. R. Kschischang and V. Sorokine, “On the trellis structure of block codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, pp. 1924–1937, Nov. 1995.
- [9] J. C. Willems, “From time series to linear systems, Parts I–III,” *Automatica*, vol. 22, pp. 561–580 and 675–694, 1986; vol. 23, pp. 87–115, 1987.
- [10] J. C. Willems, “Models for dynamics,” *Dynamics Reported*, vol. 2, pp. 171–269, 1989.